Auth. DNS - Feature # 220: Configure a.authns.bitfolk.co.uk to not send notifies based on NS records

Status:	Resolved	Priority: Normal
Author:	halleck	Category:
Created:	2025-06-14	Assigned to:
Updated:	2025-06-22	Due date:
Subject:	Configure a.authns.bitfolk.co.uk to not send notifies based on NS records	
Description:	First of all I do realize that this is an issue that is both very minor and rather niche. Yet since the fix is	
	potentially correspondingly trivial I figured that I might as well raise the issue.	
	Judging by what one can observe from the outside @a.authns.bitfolk.co.uk@ is configured both to send	
	notifies based on NS records as well as to hard coded send notifies to @b.authns.bitfolk.com@ and to	
	@c.authns.bitfolk.com@?	
	The former, sending notifies based on NS records, becomes an issue when one is using the Bitfolk DNS	
	secondaries together with other DNS secondaries. Then those other secondaries might end with the	
	following log noise.	
	lonowing log floids.	
	<pre><</pre>	
	Jun 14 04:45:17 secondary named[719]: client @0x754b5817dae8 85.119.80.222#33317: received notify for	
	zone 'erid.se'	
	Jun 14 04:45:17 secondary nam	ned[719]: zone erid.se/IN: refused notify from non-primary:
	85.119.80.222#33317	
	Jun 14 04:45:17 secondary named[719]: client @0x754b5817bd68 2001:ba8:1f1:f085::53#33162: received	
	notify for zone 'erid.se'	
	•	ned[719]: zone erid.se/IN: refused notify from non-primary:
	2001:ba8:1f1:f085::53#33162	
	Assuming that there are no zones de	epending on those implicit NS notifies then the BIND config fix is
	potentially as trivial as follows.	spending on those implicit two notines then the birds coming hix is
	poterniany de inviar de fenevie.	
	<pre><</pre>	
	options {	
	notify explicit;	
	};	

History

2025-06-21 22:39 - admin

- Status changed from New to Resolved

Okay, seems reasonable. I've now made this change.

Thanks,

Andy

2025-06-22 06:58 - halleck

Thanks!

2025-06-24 1/1